

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/783,246	Applicant(s) INOUE ET AL.		
	Examiner ABDULLAH AL KAWSAR	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Art Unit 2195</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 2195	
Art Unit 2195				

All Participants:

(1) ABDULLAH AL KAWSAR.

(2) Andrew T. Zidef.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:00pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112

Claims discussed:

1,4,19,26,29,43

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed applicant's invention to clarify the claim language to resolve 112 issues. Applicant agreed to amend the claims with examiner's amendment to resolve 112 issues.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)